

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated April 15, 2009, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claim 104 is hereby amended to correct a typographical error. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claim 104 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Applicants believe the current amendment of claim 104 to correct a typographical error renders this rejection moot.

Claims 56, 57, 60, 63, 67, 69-73, 76, 80, 82-85, 88, 92, 94, 95, 98, 99, 101, 102, 105, 106, 108-111, 115-117, 128, 130-132, 141, 143-145, 150, 153, and 154 are provisionally rejected on the ground of obviousness type double patenting over claims 56-69 of copending Application No. 11/096,079.

Claims 56-63 and 65 are provisionally rejected on the ground of obviousness type double patenting over claims 89-95 and 99-103 of copending Application No. 11/096,080.

Claims 56, 57, 60, 63, 67, 69-73, 76, 80, 82-85, 88, 92, 94, 95, 98, 99, 101, 102, 105, 106, 108-111, 115-117, 128, 130, 132, 141, 143-145, 150, 153, and 154 are provisionally rejected on the ground of obviousness type double patenting over claims 35-37, 40, 42, 47, 49 and 54-56 of U.S. Patent No. 6,501,641.

Claims 56, 57, 60, 63, 67, 69-73, 76, 80, 82-85, 88, 92, 94, 95, 98, 99, 101, 102, 105, 106, 108-111, 115-117, 128, 130-132, 141, 143-145, 150, 153, and 154 are provisionally rejected on the ground of obviousness type double patenting over claims 33-35 and 39-41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,498,718.

Claims 66, 68, 74, 75, 78, 79, 81, 86, 87, 90, 91, 93, 97, 100, 107, 118, 129, 142, 151, and 152 are provisionally rejected on the ground of obviousness type double patenting over

claims 56-69 of copending Application No. 11/096,079 in view of Yun et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,835,139).

Without reaching the merits of the above double patenting rejections, the Applicants herewith file terminal disclaimers over copending patent application nos. 11/096,079 and 11/096,080 and issued patent nos. 6,501,641 and 6,498,718. Applicants explicitly make no disclaimer with respect to U.S. Patent No. 5,835,139.

Applicants wish to bring the following information to the attention of the Examiner. The following Office Actions have been received:

Application Number (Attorney Docket Number)	Office Action Type	Date of Office Action
10/787,933 (8733.042.10)	Final Office Action	September 4, 2008
11/096,079 (8733.042.21)	Final Office Action	August 11, 2008
11/096,080 (8733.042.22)	Final Office Action	August 12, 2008
	Final Office Action	May 29, 2009

Applicants wish to notify the Patent Office that above-referenced familial application no. 11/096,080 ("the '080 Application") has been rejected over copending, non-familial related and commonly-owned patent application no. 11/320,800 ("the '800 Application"). Applicants do not believe that the '800 Application has any material applicability to the present application or to the '080 Application. Applicants bring this rejection to the Examiner's attention out of an abundance of caution.

Applicants believe that the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.


If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: September 8, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By


Song K. Jung
Reg. No. 35,210
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Attorney for Applicant